	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/575,171	KIM, YOUNG-LAK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Munjal Patel	2617
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Appeal Brief</u>		
(1) <u>Munjal Patel</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Kien Le</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 April 2011	Time: <u>10:34 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection of claims 16-23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)		
Claims discussed: 16-23		
Prior art documents discussed: Amerga et al (US Patent # US 7,110,765 B2) and Choi et al. (US Patent # US 7,096,020 B2)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative argued regarding rejection of claims 16-23. The Examiner proposed cancellation of the claims 16-23 for claims to be in better form for allowance. Applicant's representative Kien Le confirmed and provided approval for Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Munjal Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
		· ·